"Discuss." A guide to the Cambridge "prac. crit."

- 1. contextualize your piece by considering:
 - · historical context
 - literary precedent
 - place of piece in author's corpus (and in the given text)

2. analyze your piece by considering:

- distinction between materials and treatment: ask yourself "How else could this passage have been written?"
- any particular occasion (or imagined occasion) involved? (see esp. Cairns below)
- form or literary tradition involved: adherence or departure?
- is there an addressee?
- who is the (implied) audience? (see esp. Booth below)
- · techniques utilized
- topoi ("commonplaces"—do not confuse this word with trope, as so many do)
- tropoi ("tropes", i.e. figures of speech [see esp. Lanham and Lausberg below])
- author's "voice" or literary persona
- where is the place in the plot?
- how is any characterization rendered through the passage?
- look for narrative problems, e.g. what is the "point of view" of the narrator?
- is it a "showing" passage or a "telling" passage (see esp. Booth below)
- allusion to other texts or authors
- keywords (perhaps go through the passage and circle words you feel you must address)
- any major themes evident? if so how do these themes connect to your passage?

syntactical elements and effects e.g.

- anaphora
- zeugma
- transferred epithet

rhetorical elements

- captatio beneuolentiae
- praeteritio
- adunata

logical elements

• argumentum ad absurdum, a minore, a maiore, ex hypothesi

larger scale formal elements

- the "set piece", i.e. a traditional, expected element, e.g. the narrative in a messenger speech in drama
- know the formal divisions of a typical Greek play: prologue, parodos, episode, stasimon, exodos
- rhesis (extended speech in trimetrers)
- agon
- stichomythia
- ekphrasis
- locus amoenus
- digression
- narrative

other techniques

- segment your text; mark out each sentence; what does it actually say and mean?
- delineate a sequence of ideas
- note very carefully any connecting particles (or lack thereof)
- write a brief, one sentence summary of the whole
- for drama: envision a production of the lines
- overall: consider how characteristic the piece is for your author or his tradition

In sum: assume that an author is somehow <u>responsible</u> for this text and that you are analyzing his performance: ask yourself "How else could this have been done?" Alternatively, how is the text affected if you remove these lines, i.e. what is their function?

- 3. synthesize your ideas by:
 - organizing your points into an argument: try to be persuaded and persuasive
 - can you give your essay a title of its own, e.g. "Cicero's Pro Archia 15: Erudition has its place".
 - connecting your text to other texts you have read and other critical problems you have encountered
- 4. *stylize* your essay by:
- avoid "we": use the first person and don't be ashamed of your impressions
- avoid "let us now turn" vel sim.: this always disguises an arbitrary argument: explain your train of thought (esp. in essays)
- qualify your statements: you are not the authority here but a learned commentator, open to reason and employing reason
- imagine your own audience to be a well-informed colleague but not necessarily a Classicist: have confidence
- 5. recommended reading (roughly in order of accessibility and importance)

Wikipedia s.vv. "Literary criticism" and "Rhetoric".

- OCD s.vv. "literary criticism in antiquity", "literary theory and classical studies", and "rhetoric, Greek" and "rhetoric, Latin".
- J. Culler, *Literary Theory: A Very Short Introduction*. (worth buying—you need to understand the basic history of literary criticism)
- R. Lanham, A Handlist of Rhetorical Terms. (worth buying: a detailed but handy reference to the terms)
- Edward J. Corbett and Robert J. Connors, *Classical Rhetoric for the Modern Student*. (worth buying: a textbook overview of rhetoric)
- R. Wellek and A. Warren, *Theory of Literature*. (worth buying; dated but stimulating and seminal)

Cambridge History of Literary Criticism. (multivolume: the most recent vol. on 20th C criticism is well worth reading)

D. A. Russell and M. Winterbottom, Ancient Literary Criticism: The Principal Texts in New Translations.

Wayne C. Booth, *The Rhetoric of Fiction*. (on the novel and very long but explains "showing" vs "telling" inter alia)

Wayne C. Booth, *The Rhetoric of Rhetoric*.

- W. K. Wimsatt and M. Beardsley, *The Verbal Icon: Studies in the Meaning of Poetry*. (dated and dense but seminal: affective and intentional fallacies addressed)
- I. A. Richards, *Practical Criticism*. (written by a Cambridge English don in 1929: very dated now but the book that started it all)
- H. Lausberg, *Handbook of Literary Rhetoric*. (authoritative)

Francis Cairns, Generic Composition in Greek and Roman Poetry. (constrictive but significant)

Cambridge History of Classical Literature. (dated and non-theoretical but comprehensive and very useful)

N.B. in general also the work of George A. Kennedy on Classical rhetoric:

The Art of Persuasion in Greece
The Art of Rhetoric in the Roman World
Classical Rhetoric and its Christian and Secular Tradition from Ancient to Modern Times
A New History of Classical Rhetoric

last updated 14 January 2009 Charles Weiss